

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GUOHUA ZHU, Individually and On Behalf  
of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

UCBH HOLDINGS, INC., THOMAS S. WU,  
and EBRAHIM SHABUDIN,

Defendants.

No. C 09-4208 JSW  
No. C 09-4429 JSW  
No. C 09-4449 JSW  
No. C 09-4513 JSW  
No. C 09-4505 JSW

**ORDER VACATING HEARING  
AND REQUIRING FURTHER  
BRIEFING**

HUY TRAN, Individually and On Behalf of  
All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

UCBH HOLDINGS, INC., THOMAS S. WU,  
and CRAIG ON,

Defendants.

DOMINIQUE DURBIN, Individually and On  
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

UCBH HOLDINGS, INC., THOMAS S. WU,  
and CRAIG ON.

Defendants.

1 WATERFORD TOWNSHIP GENERAL  
2 EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM,  
3 Individually and On Behalf of All Others  
4 Similarly Situated,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 UCBH HOLDINGS, INC., THOMAS S. WU,  
8 CRAIG ON, and EBRAHIM SHABUDIN,

9 Defendants.

10  
11 DANIEL NYGAARD, WENDY FONG,  
12 JAMES ELAM, Individually and On Behalf of  
13 All Others Similarly Situated,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 UCBH HOLDINGS, INC., THOMAS S. WU,  
17 CRAIG ON, and EBRAHIM SHABUDIN,

18 Defendants.

19 The Court HEREBY VACATES the hearing on the pending motions to appoint lead  
20 counsel and select lead plaintiff and will reset the hearing at a later date, if necessary. The  
21 Court directs the parties to file a supplemental brief on the issue of whether hearing claims  
22 against the individual defendants, separate from the claims against defendant UCBH Holdings,  
23 Inc. (“UCBH”), would be in the interests of judicial economy and conserving the parties’  
24 resources. The parties shall file such supplemental briefs by no later than December 23, 2009.

25 Moreover, the Court notes that the bankruptcy trustee for UCBH did not respond to the  
26 Court’s order to show cause. Although this matter is automatically stayed with respect to  
27 UCBH, the Court would like to hear UCBH’s perspective on whether its interests would be  
28 harmed by these actions proceeding against the individual defendants, in addition to UCBH’s

///


///

///

1 opinion on whether hearing claims against the individual defendants and UCBH separately  
2 would be in the interests of judicial economy and conserving the parties' resources.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4  
5 Dated: December 14, 2009

  
6 JEFFREY S. WHITE  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28